13.0668.04001

FIRST ENGROSSMENT

Sixty-third Legislative Assembly of North Dakota

ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 2227

Introduced by

Senators Carlisle, Flakoll, Warner

Representatives Grande, Klemin, Amerman

- 1 A BILL for an Act to amend and reenact sections 29-32.1-01 and 29-32.1-09 of the North
- 2 Dakota Century Code, relating to limitations and summary disposition for postconviction relief
- 3 proceedings.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF NORTH DAKOTA:

- 5 **SECTION 1. AMENDMENT.** Section 29-32.1-01 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:
- 7 29-32.1-01. Remedy To whom available Conditions.
- 8 1. A person who has been convicted of and sentenced for a crime may institute a proceeding applying for relief under this chapter upon the ground that:
 - a. The conviction was obtained or the sentence was imposed in violation of the laws or the Constitution of the United States or of the laws or Constitution of North Dakota;
 - b. The conviction was obtained under a statute that is in violation of the Constitution of the United States or the Constitution of North Dakota, or that the conduct for which the applicant was prosecuted is constitutionally protected;
 - c. The court that rendered the judgment of conviction and sentence was without jurisdiction over the person of the applicant or the subject matter;
 - d. The sentence is not authorized by law;
 - e. Evidence, not previously presented and heard, exists requiring vacation of the conviction or sentence in the interest of justice;
 - f. A significant change in substantive or procedural law has occurred which, in the interest of justice, should be applied retrospectively;

1		g.	The	sentence has expired, probation or parole or conditional release was	
2			unla	wfully revoked, or the applicant is otherwise unlawfully in custody or	
3			rest	rained; or	
4		h.	The	conviction or sentence is otherwise subject to collateral attack upon any	
5			grou	and of alleged error available before July 1, 1985, under any common law,	
6			statı	utory or other writ, motion, proceeding, or remedy.	
7	2.	Exc	cept as provided in subsection 3, an application for relief under this chapter must be		
8		filed	led within one year two years of the date the conviction becomes final. A conviction		
9		bec	ecomes final for purposes of this chapter when:		
10		<u>a.</u>	<u>The</u>	time for appeal of the conviction to the North Dakota supreme court expires;	
11		<u>b.</u>	<u>lf an</u>	appeal was taken to the North Dakota supreme court, the time for petitioning	
12			the	United States supreme court for review expires; or	
13		<u>C.</u>	If re	view was sought in the United States supreme court, the date the supreme	
14			cour	t issues a final order in the case.	
15	<u>3.</u>	<u>a.</u>	Noty	withstanding subsection 2, a court may consider an application for relief under	
16			this	chapter if:	
17			<u>(1)</u>	The petition alleges the existence of newly discovered evidence, including	
18				DNA evidence, which if proved and reviewed in light of the evidence as a	
19				whole, would establish that the petitioner did not engage in the criminal	
20				conduct for which the petitioner was convicted;	
21			<u>(2)</u>	The petitioner establishes that the petitioner suffered from a physical	
22				disability or mental disease that precluded timely assertion of the application	
23				for relief; or	
24			<u>(3)</u>	The petitioner asserts a new interpretation of federal or state constitutional	
25				or statutory law by either the United States supreme court or a North Dakota	
26				appellate court and the petitioner establishes that the interpretation is	
27				retroactively applicable to the petitioner's case.	
28		<u>b.</u>	An application under this subsection must be filed within one year two years of the		
29		date the petitioner discovers or reasonably should have discovered the existence			
30			of th	ne new evidence, the disability or disease ceases, or the effective date of the	
31			retro	pactive application of law.	

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- A proceeding under this chapter is not a substitute for and does not affect any remedy 2 incident to the prosecution in the trial court or direct review of the judgment of 3 conviction or sentence in an appellate court. Except as otherwise provided in this 4 chapter, a proceeding under this chapter replaces all other common law, statutory, or 5 other remedies available before July 1, 1985, for collaterally challenging the validity of 6 the judgment of conviction or sentence. It is to be used exclusively in place of them. A 7 proceeding under this chapter is not available to provide relief for disciplinary 8 measures, custodial treatment, or other violations of civil rights of a convicted person occurring after the imposition of sentence.
 - SECTION 2. AMENDMENT. Section 29-32.1-09 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

29-32.1-09. Summary disposition.

- The court, on its own motion, may enter a judgment denying a meritless application on any and all issues raised in the application before any response by the state. The court also may summarily deny a second or successive application for similar relief on behalf of the same applicant and may summarily deny any application when the issues raised in the application have previously been decided by the appellate court in the same case.
- The court, on its own motion, may dismiss any grounds of an application which allege <u>2.</u> ineffective assistance of postconviction counsel. An applicant may not claim constitutionally ineffective assistance of postconviction counsel in proceedings under this chapter.
 - <u>3.</u> The court may grant a motion by either party for summary disposition if the application, pleadings, any previous proceeding, discovery, or other matters of record show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law.
- 27 2.4. If an evidentiary hearing is necessary, the court may determine which issues of 28 material fact are in controversy and appropriately restrict the hearing.